

BOARD AGENDA  
PULASKI COUNTY  
1992  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Reconvened Meeting  
Monday, March 2,  
7:00 p.m.

---

| ITEM  | KEY STAFF        |
|---|------------------|
| 1. Public Hearing - Airport Industrial Park Project<br>(Authorized staff to submit grant application to the Virginia Department of Housing and Community Development for a \$700,000 community development block grant application to use in development of an airport industrial park in the vicinity of the New River Valley Airport) | Mr. Huber        |
| 2. Joint Meeting with School Board<br>(Board agreed to delay target date for sub-Morgan mission of budget recommendation from the county administrator until Monday, April 6th, supervisors budget workshops to begin that same evening)  | Ms. Burchett/Mr. |
| (Agreed on itinerary for March 17th tour of school facilities - Supervisor Fariss requested a list be provided of all defects that would result in a substantial need for capital improvement funding)  | Ms. Hanks        |
| 3. Other Matters  |                  |
| 4. Executive Session - 2.1-344(A)<br>Personnel<br>Legal<br>Land Acquisition/Disposition<br>Prospective Industry   |                  |
| 5. Adjournment  |                  |

February 28, 1992

TO: Board of Supervisors

FROM: Joseph N. Morgan, County Administrator

SUBJECT: Executive Session - Legal Matters Regarding Old Courthouse Wall  
Stability

An executive session is recommended at the March 2nd meeting to discuss the following legal matters:

The Board has been previously advised of engineer's concern regarding the wall stability, as described in the enclosed correspondence.

To date, no cost has been developed for a change order. Avis Construction promises a cost from three vendors they are quoting by Monday, March 2nd. The architect indicates the cost could exceed \$100,000.

I have transmitted the engineer's recommendation to Richard Williams, the structural engineer that evaluated the building following the fire. Mr. William's concurrence that the solution recommended by Smithey and Boynton is preferred may be helpful.

An alternate, less expensive remedy, might be to "shotcrete" the interior surface of the wall. This procedure would apply a plaster like material over wire mesh to the wall, but not have anchors into the stone.

Enclosed is a tentative overall estimate of the courthouse improvement expenditures and revenues. Please note that the anticipated cost for the wall stabilization and a small basement on the brick courthouse expansion will deplete the contingency for the project.

JNM/gh